

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

McPherson, Kansas; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Jose E Razo, Dallas (1) 214-765-5877; jose.razo@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Edward R McGlade, New York (1) 212-438-2061; edward.mcglade@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

McPherson, Kansas; General Obligation

Credit Profile		
McPherson GO <i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Upgraded

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services raised its rating on McPherson, Kan.'s general obligation (GO) debt one notch to 'AA-' from 'A+' based on Standard & Poor's local GO criteria, published Sept. 12, 2013, on RatingsDirect. The outlook is stable.

The city's full-faith-and-credit and unlimited-ad valorem-property-tax pledges secure the bonds.

The rating reflects the following credit factors for McPherson:

- We view McPherson's local economy as weak with projected per capita effective buying income of 104% of the national average. Market value is an estimated \$50,200 per capita. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, unemployment was 3.9% in 2013. McPherson, with a population estimate of 13,487, is about 50 miles north of Wichita. McPherson is McPherson County's seat and commercial center. The area economy has a significant industrial component that includes oil refining, plastics, pharmaceuticals, and fiberglass. Leading city employers include Hospira Inc. (1,020 employees), a pharmaceutical producer; McPherson School District (717); National Coop Refinery Assn. (640), an oil-and-gasoline refinery; McPherson Hospital (315); and Viega (300), a plumbing products manufacturer. The city also has two higher education campuses, Central Christian College and McPherson College, with a combined enrollment estimate of 1,100.
- In our view, McPherson's management conditions are strong with "good" financial management practices under our Financial Management Assessment methodology, indicating that financial practices exist in most areas but that governance officials might not formalize or regularly monitor all of them. Management provides the city commission with monthly financial and investment updates, and we understand it can amend the budget as needed. The city adopts a two-year budget past the current fiscal year. It also maintains long-term capital plans for each department, including estimated costs and funding sources. While McPherson does not maintain a formal reserve and liquidity policy, officials informally target a general fund cash reserve of \$3 million, or approximately 35% of expenditures. We recognize debt management policies exist, and officials assess project needs and funding options. We understand McPherson officials strive to retire 20% of GO debt over five years and 100% over 15 years.
- In our opinion, budgetary flexibility is very strong with available reserves of 129% of operating expenditures in fiscal 2013. Fund balance should remain more than 75%, which we view as a credit strength. We recognize McPherson adheres to the Kansas Municipal Audit and Accounting Guide for a regulatory basis of accounting, which we view as a credit negative.
- In our view, very strong liquidity supports McPherson's finances with available cash of 278% of total governmental funds expenditures and 33.7x debt service coverage. Based on past debt issuance, we believe McPherson has strong access to capital markets to provide liquidity, if necessary.
- We consider overall budgetary performance strong with a surplus of 11.1% for the general fund and a deficit of 2.1%

for total governmental funds in fiscal 2013. Management is projecting it will carry additional cash forward for the general and total governmental funds for fiscal 2014.

- In our view, McPherson's debt and contingent liabilities profile is weak. Net direct debt is 220.8% of total governmental funds revenue, and debt service is 8.3% of total governmental funds expenditures. Officials plan to retire approximately 82% of debt over 10 years, which we view as a credit strength. McPherson's fiscal 2013 Kansas Public Employees' Retirement System statutorily mandated contribution was 3.1% of expenditures. The plan was 63.7% funded as of the Dec. 31, 2013, actuarial valuation.
- We consider the Institutional Framework score for Kansas municipalities strong.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects Standard & Poor's opinion that McPherson will likely sustain its very strong reserves while maintaining access to liquidity. While we do not expect to change the rating further within the next two years, we could lower the rating if overall financial performance were to deteriorate. Conversely, we could raise the rating if economic indicators and debt were to improve.

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

USPF Criteria: Local Government GO Ratings Methodology And Assumptions, Sept. 12, 2013

Related Research

- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- Institutional Framework Overview: Kansas Local Governments

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2014 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.